CS6501:Topics in Learning and Game Theory (Spring 2021)

Introduction

Instructor: Haifeng Xu



- Course Overview
- Administrivia
- ➤ An Example

Single-Agent Decision Making

- > A decision maker picks an action $x \in X$, resulting in utility f(x)
- Typically an optimization problem:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize (or maximize)} & f(x) \\ \text{subject to} & x \in X \end{array}$

- *x*: decision variable
- f(x): objective function
- *X*: feasible set/region
- Optimal solution, optimal value
- ➤ Example 1: minimize x^2 , s.t. $x \in [-1,1]$
- Example 2: pick a road to school
- Example 3: invest a subset of stocks



Multi-Agent Decision Making

- > Usually, your payoffs affected not only by your actions, but also others'
- Agent *i*'s utility $f_i(x_i, x_{-i})$ depends on his own action x_i , as well as other agents' actions x_{-i}
- ➢ Is this still an optimization problem? Should each agent *i* just pick $x_i ∈ X_i$ to minimize $f_i(x_i, x_{-i})$?
 - x_{-i} is not under *i*'s control
 - Think of rock-paper-scissor game
- Examples: stock investment, routing, sales, even taking courses...

Example I: Prisoner's Dilemma

- Two members A,B of a criminal gang are arrested
- They are questioned in two separate rooms
 - No communications between them



- Q: How should each prisoner act?
- Betray is always the best action

Example I: Prisoner's Dilemma

- Two members A,B of a criminal gang are arrested
- They are questioned in two separate rooms
 - No communications between them

В	B stays	В
A	silent	betrays
A stays	-1	0
silent	-1	-3
Α	-3	-2
betrays	0	-2

Q: How should each prisoner act?

Betray is always the best action

Example I: Prisoner's Dilemma

- Two members A,B of a criminal gang are arrested
- They are questioned in two separate rooms
 - No communications between them

AB	B stays silent	B betrays
A stays silent	-1 -1	-3 0
A betrays	-3	-2 -2

equilibrium

Q: How should each prisoner act?

- Betray is always the best action
- But, (-1,-1) is a better outcome for both
- Why? What goes wrong?
 - Selfish behaviors lead to inefficient outcome



> Assume people will buy if the book price \leq \$200

> Product cost = \$20

If the market has only one book seller...

Q: What price should this monopoly set?





> Assume people will buy if the book price \leq \$200

> Product cost = \$20

What if the market has two book sellers...

Q: What price should each seller set?





> Assume people will buy if the book price \leq \$200

> Product cost = \$20

What if the market has two book sellers...

Q: What price should each seller set?

- The market reaches a "stable status" (a.k.a., equilibrium)
- Nobody can benefit via unilateral deviation



- Bertrand competition
- Selfish behaviors result in inefficiency (for sellers)

Game Theory

Game Theory studies multiple-agent decision making in competitive scenarios where an agent's payoff depends on other agents' actions.

- Fundamental concept --- Equilibrium
 - A "stable status" at which any agent cannot improve his payoff through unilateral deviation
 - If exits, it should be what we expect to happen
 - Resembles "optimal decision" in single-agent case
- > A central theme in game theory is to study the equilibrium
 - Different "types" of equilibria
 - May not exist; even exist, not necessarily unique
 - Understand properties of equilibrium, compute equilibria, how to improve inefficiency of equilibrium . . .

Machine Learning

Difficult to give a universal definition

- > At a high level, the task is to learn a function $f: X \to Y$, where (x, y) ∈ X×Y is drawn from some distribution D
 - Input: a set of samples $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1,2,\dots,n}$ drawn from D
 - Output: an algorithm $A: X \to Y$ such that $A(x) \approx f(x)$ (usually measured by some loss function)

≻Examples

- Classification: X = feature vectors; $Y = \{0,1\}$
- Regression: X = feature vectors; $Y = \mathbb{R}$
- Reinforcement learning has a slightly different setup, but can be thought as X = state space, Y = action space

Problems at Interface of Learning and Game Theory

- If a game is unknown or too complex, can players learn to play the game optimally?
 - Yes, sometimes no regret learning and convergence to equilibrium
- Can game-theoretic models inspire machine learning models?
 - Yes, GANs which are zero-sum games
- > Data is the fuel for ML Can we collect high-quality data from crowd?
 - Yes, via information elicitation mechanisms
- We know how to learn to recognize faces or languages, but can we also learn the design of games to achieve some goal?
 - Yes, learning optimal auctions, product pricing schemes, etc
- Gaming/strategic behaviors in ML? How to handle them?
 - Yes, e.g, learn whether to give loans to someone or whether to admit a student to UVA based on their features

Goodhart's Law

When a measure becomes a target, it ceases to be a good measure

Main Topics of This Course

First Half: Machine learning for game theory

- > No regret learning and its convergence to equilibrium
- Learning optimal auction mechanisms

Second Half: Game theory for machine learning

- Incentivize high-quality data via information elicitation (a.k.a., crowdsourcing)
- > Handle gaming behaviors in machine learning
 - Particularly, learning from strategic data sources, and fairness

Main Topics of This Course

First Half: Machine learning for game theory

- No regret learning and its convergence to equilibrium
- Learning optimal auction mechanisms

Second Half: Game theory for machine learning

- Incentivize high-quality data via information elicitation (a.k.a., crowdsourcing)
- > Handle gaming behaviors in machine learning
 - Particularly, learning from strategic data sources, and fairness

Only cover fundamentals of each direction

Course Goal

- > Get familiar with basics of game theory and learning
- Understand machine learning questions in game-theoretic settings, and how to deal with some of them
- Understand gaming behaviors in machine learning applications, and how to deal with some of them
- > Can understand cutting-edge research papers in relevant areas

Targeted Audience of This Course

- Anyone planning to do research at the interface of game theory (or algorithm design) and machine learning
 - This is a new research direction with many opportunities/challenges
 - Recent breakthrough in no-limit poker is an example



Targeted Audience of This Course

- Anyone planning to do research at the interface of game theory (or algorithm design) and machine learning
 - This is a new research direction with many opportunities/challenges
 - Recent breakthrough in no-limit poker is an example
- Anyone interested in theoretical ML, game theory, human factors in learning, AI
 - As more and more ML systems interact with human beings, such game-theoretic reasoning becomes increasingly important
 - With more techniques developed for ML, they also broadened our toolkits for designing and solving games
- Anyone interested in understanding basics of game theory and learning

Who May not Be Suitable for This Course?

- > Those who do not satisfy the prerequisites "in practice"
- Those who are looking for a recipe to implement ML/DL algorithms, or want to learn how to use TensorFlow, PyTorch, etc.
 - This is primarily a theory course
 - We will mostly focus on simple/basic yet theoretically insightful problems
 - The course is proof based we will not write code



- Course Overview
- Administrivia
- ➤ An Example

Basic Information

- Course time: Tuesday/Thursday, 2:00 pm 3:15 pm
- Lecture: online synchronous via Zoom
- Instructor: Haifeng Xu
 - Email: <u>hx4ad@virginia.edu</u>
 - Office Hour: Tue 3:15 4:15 pm
- ≻TAs
 - Jibang Wu: office hour Mon 2 3 pm, Zoom
 - Fan Yao: office hour Friday 3 4 pm, Zoom
- > Depending on demand, can add more office hours (let us know!)
- Couse website: <u>http://www.haifeng-xu.com/cs6501sp21</u>
- > References: linked papers/notes on website, no official textbooks
 - Slides will be posted after lecture

Prerequisites

- > Mathematically mature: be comfortable with proofs
- Sufficient exposures to algorithms/optimization
 - CS 6161 and equivalent, or
 - CS 4102 and you did really well
 - We will cover some basics of optimization

Requirements and Grading

≻4-5 homeworks, 60% of grade.

- Proof based, and will be challenging
- Discussion allowed, even encouraged, but must write up solutions independently
- Must be written up in Latex hand-written solutions will not be accepted
- One late homework allowed, at most 2 days
- Research project, 40% of grade. Project instructions will be posted on website later.
 - Team up: 2 4 people per team
 - · Can thoroughly survey a research field, or
 - Study a relevant research question, e.g., arising from your own research
 - Presentation form: a report in PDF

>FYI: no need to worry about your grade if you do invest time

If you have any suggestions/comments/concerns, feel free to email me.



- Course Overview
- Administrivia
- ➤ An Example

Learning to Sell a Product

- > You are a product seller facing *N* unknown buyers
- > These buyers all value your product at the same $v \in [0,1]$, which however is *unknown* to you
- > Buyers come in sequence $1, 2, \dots, N$; For each buyer, you can choose a price p and ask him whether he is willing to buy the product
 - If $v \ge p$, she/he purchases; otherwise not



Learning to Sell a Product

- > You are a product seller facing *N* unknown buyers
- > These buyers all value your product at the same $v \in [0,1]$, which however is *unknown* to you
- > Buyers come in sequence $1, 2, \dots, N$; For each buyer, you can choose a price p and ask him whether he is willing to buy the product
 - If $v \ge p$, she/he purchases; otherwise not
- > How to quickly learn these buyers' value v within precision $\epsilon = \frac{1}{N}$?
 - This is a pure learning problem
 - (Well, you may directly ask a buyer's value, but guess what will happen?)
- > Answer: log(N) rounds via BinarySearch

Learning to Sell a Product

- > You are a product seller facing *N* unknown buyers
- > These buyers all value your product at the same $v \in [0,1]$, which however is *unknown* to you

Let us move to a natural game-theoretic setup

- > You have an ultimate objective of maximizing your revenue, but do not really care about learning the v (though you may have to)
- How much revenue can BinarySearch secure?
 - May get really unlucky in first log(N) rounds and no sale happened
 - After $\log(N)$ rounds, can set a price $p \ge \tilde{v} 1/N$ (\tilde{v} is learned value)

Rev = 0 +
$$(N - \log N)(v - \frac{2}{N}) \approx vN - v \log N - 2$$

First log(N) rounds Remaining rounds

Regret as Performance Measure

> To measure algorithm performance, we use regret

Regret := how much less is an algorithm's utility compared to the (idealized) case where we know v.

> Had we know v, should just price the product at p = v, earning vN

> The regret is then

Regret(binary search) $\approx vN - [vN - v \log N - 2] = v \log N + 2$

Q: Is this the best (i.e., the smallest) regret?

Theorem [Kleinberg/Leighton, FOCS'03] : there is an algorithm achieving regret at most $(1 + 2 \log \log N)$

Why BinarySearch may be bad?

- > For buyer *i*, BinarySearch maintains an interval bound $[a_i, b_i]$ and use $p_i = (a_i + b_i)/2$ for buyer *i*
 - This learns v as quickly as possible
 - But maybe bad for revenue since we will get 0 revenue if $p_i > v$, and $p_i = (a_i + b_i)/2$ may be too high/aggressive
- > Algorithm idea: use more conservative prices



Theorem [Kleinberg/Leighton, FOCS'03] : there is an algorithm achieving regret at most $(1 + 2 \log \log N)$



Remark: searching smaller region with smaller step size.

Theorem [Kleinberg/Leighton, FOCS'03] : there is an algorithm achieving regret at most $(1 + 2 \log \log N)$

$$\frac{\Delta_i}{a_i \quad p_i} \qquad b_i$$

Claim 1: The step size Δ_i takes values 2^{-2^j} for $j = 0, 1, \cdots$. Moreover, whenever $\Delta_{i+1} = (\Delta_i)^2$ happens, $b_{i+1} - a_{i+1} = \sqrt{\Delta_{i+1}}$.

Proof

Algorithm analysis:

Theorem [Kleinberg/Leighton, FOCS'03] : there is an algorithm achieving regret at most $(1 + 2 \log \log N)$

> After $b_i - a_i \leq \frac{1}{N}$, the total regret is at most 1

Algorithm analysis:

- Because (1) regret of each step is at most $\frac{1}{N}$; (2) there are at most N rounds
- > Main step is to bound regret before reaching $b_i a_i = \frac{1}{N}$

Theorem [Kleinberg/Leighton, FOCS'03] : there is an algorithm achieving regret at most $(1 + 2 \log \log N)$

> How many step size value updates needed to reach $b_i - a_i = \frac{1}{N}$?

•
$$\log \log N$$
: set $2^{-2^i} = \frac{1}{N} \rightarrow i = \log \log N$

Algorithm analysis:

• The following claim then completes the proof of the theorem

Claim 2: total regret from any step size value Δ is at most 2.

 \succ No sale happens only once for any step size \rightarrow regret at most 1

- > What about the regret when sales happen?
 - Can happen at most $\sqrt{\Delta}/\Delta$ times since $b_i a_i \le \sqrt{\Delta}$; regret from each time is at most $b_i a_i \le \sqrt{\Delta}$
 - Regret from sales is at most $(\sqrt{\Delta}/\Delta) \times \sqrt{\Delta} = 1$

bi

Remarks

> $O(\log \log N)$ is also the order-wise best regret [KL, FOCS'13]

- > This is an example of exploration vs exploitation
 - Exploration: want to learn v
 - Exploitation: but ultimate goal is to utilize learned v to maximize revenue
 - More in later lectures...
- BinarySearch is best for exploration, but did not balance the two
- The "optimal" algorithm uses less step value updates, but more interval updates
 - Less step value updates are to be conservative about prices in order for revenue maximization
 - More interval updates mean interacting with more buyers to learn v
 - That is, slower learning but higher revenue

Well, This is Not the End Yet ...

- > Here, it is crucial that each buyer only shows up once
- > What if the same buyer shows up repeatedly?
 - In fact, this is more realistic
 - E.g., in online advertising, buyer = an advertiser
- How should a (repeatedly showing up) buyer behave if he knows seller is learning her value v and then uses it to set a price for her?

Open Research Questions:

- 1. How to design pricing schemes for a repeatedly showing up buyer to maximize revenue when the buyer knows you are learning his value?
- 2. How to generalize to selling multiple products?

Thank You

Haifeng Xu University of Virginia <u>hx4ad@virginia.edu</u>