CS6501:Topics in Learning and Game Theory (Fall 2019)

Linear Programming Duality

Instructor: Haifeng Xu

Slides of this lecture is adapted from Shaddin Dughmi at https://www-bcf.usc.edu/~shaddin/cs675sp18/index.html



Recap and Weak Duality

Strong Duality and Its Proof

Consequence of Strong Duality

Linear Program (LP)

General form:

minimize (or maximize)	$c^T \cdot x$	
subject to	$a_i \cdot x \leq b_i$	$\forall i \in C_1$
	$a_i \cdot x \ge b_i$	$\forall i \in C_2$
	$a_i \cdot x = b_i$	$\forall i \in C_3$

Standard form:

maximize	$c^T \cdot x$	
subject to	$a_i \cdot x \le b_i \\ x_j \ge 0$	$ \forall i = 1, \cdots, m \\ \forall j = 1, \cdots, n $
	-	

Application: Optimal Production

- \succ *n* products, *m* raw materials
- > Every unit of product *j* uses a_{ij} units of raw material *i*
- > There are b_i units of material *i* available
- > Product *j* yields profit c_j per unit
- > Factory wants to maximize profit subject to available raw materials

Can be formulated as an LP in standard form

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max \quad c^T \cdot x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \, x_j \leq b_i, \quad \forall i \in [m] \\ \quad x_j \geq 0, \qquad \quad \forall j \in [n] \end{array}$$

Primal and Dual Linear Program

Primal LP

Dual LP

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max \quad c^T \cdot x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \, x_j \leq b_i, \quad \forall i \in [m] \\ \quad x_j \geq 0, \qquad \quad \forall j \in [n] \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min \quad b^T \cdot y \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} \, y_i \geq c_j, \quad \forall j \in [n] \\ \quad y_i \geq 0, \qquad \quad \forall i \in [m] \end{array}$$

Economic Interpretation:

Dual LP corresponds to the buyer's optimization problem, as follows:

> Buyer wants to directly buy the raw material

> Dual variable y_i is buyer's proposed price per unit of raw material *i*

- >Dual price vector is feasible if factory is incentivized to sell materials
- >Buyer wants to spend as little as possible to buy raw materials

Primal and Dual Linear Program

Primal LP

Dual LP

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max \quad c^T \cdot x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \, x_j \leq b_i, \quad \forall i \in [m] \\ \quad x_j \geq 0, \qquad \quad \forall j \in [n] \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min \quad b^T \cdot y \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} \ y_i \geq c_j, \quad \forall j \in [n] \\ y_i \geq 0, \qquad \quad \forall i \in [m] \end{array}$$

Upperbound Interpretation:

Dual LP can be interpreted as finding best upperbound for the primal

- > Multiplying each row *i* of primal by y_i and summing the constraints
- \succ Goal: find the best such y to get the smallest upper bound

- > So far, mainly writing the Dual based on syntactic rules
- > Next, will show Primal and Dual are inherently related

Weak Duality





Theorem [Weak Duality]: For any primal feasible x and dual feasible y, we have $c^T \cdot x \le b^T \cdot y$

Corollary:

- If primal is unbounded, dual is infeasible
- If dual is unbounded, primal is infeasible
- ➢ If primal and dual are both feasible, then OPT(primal) ≤ OPT(dual)

obj value of dual obj value of primal

Weak Duality





Theorem [Weak Duality]: For any primal feasible x and dual feasible y, we have $c^T \cdot x \le b^T \cdot y$

Corollary: If x is primal feasible and y is dual feasible, and $c^T \cdot x = b^T \cdot y$, then both are optimal.



Interpretation of Weak Duality

Economic Interpretation:

If prices of raw materials are set such that there is incentive to sell raw materials directly, then factory's total revenue from sale of raw materials would exceed its profit from any production.

Upperbound Interpretation:

The method of rescaling and summing rows of the Primal indeed givens an upper bound of the Primal's objective value (well, self-evident...).

Proof of Weak Duality



$$y^T \cdot b \ge y^T \cdot Ax = x^T \cdot A^T y \ge x^T \cdot c$$



Recap and Weak Duality

Strong Duality and Its Proof

Consequence of Strong Duality



Theorem [Strong Duality]: If either the primal or dual is feasible and bounded, then so is the other and OPT(primal) = OPT(dual).



John von Neumann

13

Interpretation of Strong Duality

Economic Interpretation:

There exist raw material prices such that the factory is indifferent between selling raw materials or products.

Upperbound Interpretation:

The method of scaling and summing constraints yields a tight upperbound for the primal objective value.

Proof of Strong Duality

Projection Lemma

Weierstrass' Theorem: Let *Z* be a compact set, and let f(z) be a continuous function on *z*. Then min{ $f(z) : z \in Z$ } exists.



Projection Lemma

Weierstrass' Theorem: Let *Z* be a compact set, and let f(z) be a continuous function on *z*. Then min{ $f(z) : z \in Z$ } exists.

Projection Lemma: Let $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a nonempty closed convex set and let $y \notin Z$. Then there exists $z^* \in Z$ with minimum l_2 distance from y. Moreover, $\forall z \in Z$ we have $(y - z^*)^T (z - z^*) \leq 0$.

Proof: homework exercise



Separating Hyperplane Theorem

Theorem: Let $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a nonempty closed convex set and let $y \notin Z$. Then there exists a hyperplane $\alpha^T \cdot z = \beta$ that strictly separates y from Z. That is, $\alpha^T \cdot z \ge \beta, \forall z \in Z$ and $\alpha^T \cdot y < \beta$.

Proof: choose $\alpha = z^* - y$ and $\beta = \alpha \cdot z^*$ and use projection lemma > Homework exercise



Farkas' Lemma: Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, then exactly one of the following two statements holds:

- a) There exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that Ax = b and $x \ge 0$
- b) There exists $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $A^T y \ge 0$ and $b^T y < 0$

a_{11}	a_{12}	a_{13}	a_{14}	b_1
a_{21}	a_{22}	a_{23}	a_{24}	b_2
a_{31}	a_{32}	a_{33}	a_{34}	b_3

Farkas' Lemma: Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, then exactly one of the following two statements holds:

- a) There exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that Ax = b and $x \ge 0$
- b) There exists $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $A^T y \ge 0$ and $b^T y < 0$

\sim	· · ·	
$1^{\circ} \cap \cap \cap$	\mathbf{n}	
Cubb	u 1	

x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	
a_{11}	a_{12}	a_{13}	a_{14}	b_1
a_{21}	a_{22}	a_{23}	a_{24}	b_2
a_{31}	a_{32}	a_{33}	a_{34}	b_3

Farkas' Lemma: Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, then exactly one of the following two statements holds:

- a) There exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that Ax = b and $x \ge 0$
- b) There exists $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $A^T y \ge 0$ and $b^T y < 0$

Case b):

y_1	a_{11}	a_{12}	a_{13}	a_{14}	b_1
y_2	a_{21}	a_{22}	a_{23}	a_{24}	b_2
y_3	a_{31}	a_{32}	a_{33}	a_{34}	b_3

Farkas' Lemma: Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, then exactly one of the following two statements holds:

- a) There exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that Ax = b and $x \ge 0$
- b) There exists $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $A^T y \ge 0$ and $b^T y < 0$

Geometric interpretation:



 \overline{a}_j is j'th column of A

a) *b* is in the cone

Farkas' Lemma: Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, then exactly one of the following two statements holds:

- a) There exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that Ax = b and $x \ge 0$
- b) There exists $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $A^T y \ge 0$ and $b^T y < 0$

Geometric interpretation:



 \overline{a}_j is j'th column of A

- a) *b* is in the cone
- b) b is not in the cone, and there exists a hyperplane with direction y that separates b from the cone

Farkas' Lemma: Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, then exactly one of the following two statements holds:

- a) There exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that Ax = b and $x \ge 0$
- b) There exists $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $A^T y \ge 0$ and $b^T y < 0$

Proof:

- ➤ Cannot both hold; Otherwise, yields contradiction as follows: $0 \le (A^T y)^T \cdot x = y^T \cdot (Ax) = y^T \cdot b < 0.$
- > Next, we prove if (a) does not hold, then (b) must hold
 - This implies the lemma

Farkas' Lemma: Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, then exactly one of the following two statements holds:

- a) There exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that Ax = b and $x \ge 0$
- b) There exists $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $A^T y \ge 0$ and $b^T y < 0$

Claim: if (a) does not hold, then (b) must hold.

- Consider $Z = \{Ax : x \ge 0\}$ so that Z is closed and convex
- \succ (a) does not hold ⇔ $b \notin Z$
- > By separating hyperplane theorem, there exists hyperplane $\alpha \cdot z = \beta$ such that $\alpha^T \cdot z \ge \beta$ for all $z \in Z$ and $\alpha^T \cdot b < \beta$
- ▶ Note $0 \in Z$, therefore $\beta \leq \alpha^T \cdot 0 = 0$ and thus $\alpha^T \cdot b < 0$
- $> \alpha^T A x \ge \beta$ for any $x \ge 0$ implies $\alpha^T A \ge 0$ since x can be arbitrary large

>Letting α be our y yields the lemma

An Alternative of Farkas' Lemma

Following corollary of Farkas' lemma is more convenient for our proof

Corollary: Exactly one of the following systems holds:

 $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ s.t.}$ $A \cdot x \leq b$ $x \geq 0$

$$\exists y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, \text{ s.t.}$$
$$A^{t} \cdot y \ge 0$$
$$b^{t} \cdot y < 0$$
$$y \ge 0$$

Compare to the original version

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ s.t.}$$
$$A \cdot x = b$$
$$x \ge 0$$

$$\exists y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, \text{ s.t.}$$
$$A^{t} \cdot y \ge 0$$
$$b^{t} \cdot y < 0$$

An Alternative of Farkas' Lemma

Following corollary of Farkas' lemma is more convenient for our proof

Corollary: Exactly one of the following systems holds:



Proof: Apply Fakas' lemma to the following linear systems

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ s.t.}$$
$$A \cdot x + I \cdot s = b$$
$$x, s \ge 0$$

$$\exists y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, \text{ s.t.}$$
$$A^{t} \cdot y \ge 0$$
$$I \cdot y \ge 0$$
$$b^{t} \cdot y < 0$$

Proof of Strong Duality



Theorem [Strong Duality]: If either the primal or dual is feasible and bounded, then so is the other and OPT(primal) = OPT(dual).

Proof

- >Dual of the dual is primal; so w.l.o.g assume primal is feasible and bounded
- >Weak duality yields OPT(primal) \leq OPT(dual)
- >Next we prove the converse, i.e., $OPT(primal) \ge OPT(dual)$

Proof of Strong Duality



>We prove if OPT(primal) < β for some β , then OPT(dual) < β

>Apply Farkas' lemma to the following linear system

 $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ such that} \\ Ax \le b \\ -c^t \cdot x \le -\beta \\ x \ge 0$

 $\exists y \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{R}$ $A^{t}y - cz \ge 0$ $b^{T}y - \beta z < 0$ $y, z \ge 0$

>By assumption, the first system is infeasible, so the second must hold

- If z > 0, can rescale (y, z) to make z = 1, yielding OPT(dual) < β
- If z = 0, then system $A^t y \ge 0$, $b^T y < 0$, $y \ge 0$ feasible. Farkas' lemma implies that system $Ax \le b, x \ge 0$ is infeasible, contradicting theorem assumption. ²⁹



Recap and Weak Duality

Strong Duality and Its Proof

Consequence of Strong Duality

Complementary Slackness



> s_i = (b − Ax)_i is the *i*'th primal slack variable
> t_j = (A^Ty − c)_j is the *j*'th dual slack variable

Complementary Slackness:

x and y are optimal if and only if they are feasible and

$$\succ x_i t_i = 0$$
 for all $j = 1, \dots, m$

$$\blacktriangleright$$
 $y_i s_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$

Remark: can be used to recover optimal solution of the primal from optimal solution of the dual (very useful in optimization).

Economic Interpretation of Complementary Slackness:

Given the optimal production and optimal raw material prices

- It only produces products for which profit equals raw material cost
- A raw material is priced greater than 0 only if it is used up in the optimal production

Primai LP		
$\begin{array}{ll} \max c^T \cdot x \\ \text{s.t.} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i, \\ x_j \geq 0, \end{array}$	$\forall i \in [m]$ $\forall j \in [n]$	min s.t. Σ y _i

min
$$b^T \cdot y$$

s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i \ge c_j, \quad \forall j \in [n]$
 $v_i \ge 0, \qquad \forall i \in [m]$

Dual LP

Proof of Complementary Slackness

Primal LP

max	$c^t \cdot x$
s.t.	$Ax \leq b$
	$x \ge 0$

Dual LP

min	$b^t \cdot y$
s.t.	$A^t y \ge c$
	$y \ge 0$

Proof of Complementary Slackness

Primal LP

 $\begin{array}{ll} \max & c^t \cdot x \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax + s = b \\ & x, s \ge 0 \end{array}$

min $b^t \cdot y$ s.t. $A^t y - t = c$ $y, t \ge 0$

Dual LP

> Add slack variables into both LPs

$$y^{T}b - x^{T}c = y^{T}(Ax + s) - x^{T}(A^{T}y - t) = y^{T}s + x^{T}t$$

Proof of Complementary Slackness





> Add slack variables into both LPs

$$y^{T}b - x^{T}c = y^{T}(Ax + s) - x^{T}(A^{T}y - t) = y^{T}s + x^{T}t$$

- > For any feasible x, y, the gap between primal and dual objective value is precisely the "aggregated slackness" $y^T s + x^T t$
- > Strong duality implies $y^T s + x^T t = 0$ for the optimal x, y.
- > Since x, s, y, $t \ge 0$, we have $x_i t_j = 0$ for all j and $y_i s_i = 0$ for all i.

Thank You

Haifeng Xu University of Virginia <u>hx4ad@virginia.edu</u>